

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT/SG/000035

Date of writing 12 May 2005 (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
yclim04

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/SG 2005/000035

International filing date (day/month/year)
11 February 2005 (11.02.2005)

Priority Date (day/month/year)
2 March 2004 (02.03.2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
G06T 11/60, G06F 3/00

Applicant
LIM YUEN CHEN

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Cont. No. II Priority
- ☐ Cont. No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Cont. No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Cont. No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Cont. No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Cont. No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a request for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"), except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 56.1(b)(i) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of receipt of PCT/ISA/220, or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires last.

For further actions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Austrian Patent Office
Bressanweg 37, A-1210 Vienna

Telephone: +43 1 534 26 1 535

Authorizing officer

K(0)G

Telephone: +43 1 534 24 1 440

Continuation No. I

Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed.

Continuation No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-25	YES
	Claims ---	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-25	YES
	Claims ---	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-25	YES
	Claims ---	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents have been cited in the Search Report; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: US 6 005 588 A
- D2: US 6 501 475 B1
- D3: US 2002/0149587 A1
- D4: JP 8 166 865 A
- D5: JP 8 287 064 A

Document D1 discloses a system and method for rapidly displaying text in a graphical user interface. D1 is considered to represent the most relevant document. An initialing module accepts character set comprising characters having characteristics and executable code for drawing characters in the character set is generated. The present application shows a method for protecting a character entered at a graphical interface. The method is generating a set of images that form a complete image of a keypad having a button-to-character assignment. Therefore the subject matter of the present application shows a favourable improvement in respect of the cited document D1.

D2 describes a glyph-based outline font generation independent of resolution. D3 teaches a method of controlling the display of a character based on a dynamic code generation. D4

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SG 2005/000035

discloses a method and device for screen generation. Finally **D5** shows a method of adjusting character modification.

Summarising, the subject matter of **Claims 1-25** is novel and inventive in respect of the shown prior art. **Documents D1-D5** show the general state of the art which is not considered to be of a particular relevance.

The industrial applicability is obviously given.